1	GEOFF HANSEN	
2	Acting Federal Public Defender 2 SHAWN HALBERT	
3	Assistant Federal Public Defender 450 Golden Gate Avenue	
4	San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7700	
5	Counsel for Defendant Manuel Baez Castro	
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		No. CR 12-00154 WHA
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER CONTINUING STATUS HEARING FROM MAY 22, 2012 TO
13	v.) MAY 29, 2012
14	MANUEL BAEZ CASTRO,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17		
18		
19	STIPULATION	
20	The parties are scheduled to appear before this Court on May 22, 2012 at 2:00 p.m. The	
21	defense requests that the matter be continued by one week to give defense counsel additional time to	
22	research one issue that arose as a result of her last meeting with her client. The government has no	
23	objection to this request. The parties jointly stipulate and submit that the May 22, 2012 court	
24	appearance should be continued to May 29, 2012 or some other date thereafter convenient to the	
25	Court.	
26	The parties also agree that the time between May	y 22, 2012 and May 29, 2012 (or some date
	STIP. & ORDER No. CR 12-00154 WHA - 1 -	

1	thereafter convenient to the Court) should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act; the continuance	
2	is necessary for effective preparation of counsel, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv), and	
3	the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public	
4	and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).	
5	SO STIPULATED.	
6		
7	Dated: 5/21/12 MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney	
9		
LO	MARK KANG	
L1	Special Assistant United States Attorney	
L2		
L3	Dated: 5/21/12 /s/ SHAWN HALBERT	
L 4	Attorney for Defendant	
L5		
L 6		
L7		
L8		
L 9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

STIP. & ORDER No. CR 12-00154 WHA

For the reasons stated above, the Court continues the status date in this matter from May 22, 2012 to May 29, 2012 for to ____ between May 22, 2012 and May 29, 2012 should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act; the continuance is necessary for effective preparation of counsel, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv), and the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 21, 2012.

ORDER

William Alsup UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2012]. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time

STIP. & ORDER No. CR 12-00154 WHA